A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email ($\underbrace{secretariat@inahta.org}$).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

 $An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc. is available at \underline{www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist}.$

Reference of report	Puñal Riobóo J, Varela Lema L. Dispositivo de asistencia ventricular izquierda (DAVI) como terapia de destino. Red Española de Agencias de Evaluación de Tecnologías Sanitarias y Prestaciones del SNS. Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS), Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico, avalia-t; 2018 Aviable from: https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/765/avalia-t201702DAVI.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Janet Puñal Riobóo
Email	avalia-t@sergas.es

Item							Yes	Partly	No	
Preliminary										
Appropriate contact details for further information?							Χ			
2. Authors identified?							Χ			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							Χ			
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?						Χ				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?									Х	
Why?										
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							Χ			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?						Χ				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							Χ			
9. Description of	the asse	essed hea	alth technology?				Χ			
How?										
10. Details on so	urces of	informati	on and literature	search	n strategies p	rovided	d?			
Search strategy	Datal	oases	Year range		anguage estriction		rimary data	info	Other kind of information resources	
YES	YI	ES	2015-2017		NO	,			NO	
Complete referer		ovol	List of		Inclusion		Exclusion		_	
of included stu YES	laies	exci	uded studies YES		<u>criteria</u> YES		<u>criteria</u> YES			
·	n hasis t	for the as		ternret		ted da	ta and i			
Method of da	traction described? methasse		cal appraisal od (for quality ssment of the re) described?		Method of data synthesis described?		Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?			
YES			YES		YES		YES			
Context?				_			-			
12. Information o	n conte	kt (mayor i	may not apply to ea	ach HT	4).					
(Medico-) legal implications considered?	Economic analysis provided?		Ethical implication	Ethical implications considered?		Social implications considered?		Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?		
NO		YES	YES		YES	·				

What then?		Partly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	Х		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	Х		
15. Suggestions for further action?	Х		